# THE COMPARISON OF OPEN AND LAPAROSCOPIC VENTRAL HERNIA REPAIRS

Jahangir Sarwar Khan<sup>1</sup>, Usman Qureshi<sup>2</sup>, Umar Farooq<sup>3</sup>, Zahra Fatima Hassa<sup>4</sup>, Hamid Hassan<sup>5</sup>

# **ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** To compare the outcome of open versus laparoscopic ventral hernia repair in terms of duration of surgery, post operative pain and length of hospital stay.

Methodology: A comparative study was carried out at in-patients presenting at Surgical Departments of Benazir Bhutto Hospital, Holy Family Hospital and author's clinics. A total of 100 patients were included in the study and the patients were divided randomly in Group A and Group B, having 50 patients in each group, Group A representing open mesh repair group and Group B laparoscopic repair group. Patients of both groups were observed per-operatively for duration of surgery, postoperatively for length of hospital stay and intensity of postoperative pain.

**Results:** There was no statistically significant difference between two groups for duration of surgery (P > 0.96). Pain was calculated at 2 and 24 hour, using visual analogue scale. Less pain was noted in Group B. Difference of pain score was statistically significant at 2h and 24h (P0 < .05). Average duration of postoperative stay in hours was more in Group A (39.6 hrs) as compared to Group B (31.4 hrs) (P < 0.05).

**Conclusion:** Laparoscopic ventral hernia repair is beneficial due to less post operative pain and short duration of post operative hospital stay but duration of surgery remained same in both the settings.

Key Words: Ventral hernia, Laparoscopy, Repair.

This article may be cited as: Khan JS, Qureshi U, Farooq U, Hassa ZF, Hassan H. The comparison of open and laparoscopic ventral hernia repairs. J Postgrad Med Inst 2012; 26(4): 397-401.

## INTRODUCTION

Ventral hernias result from defects in the abdominal wall. They are typically classified by etiology and location. Ventral hernias can develop as a result of prior surgery (incisional) or spontaneously (umbilical, epigastric, Spigelian, or

<sup>1,2,5</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Rawalpindi Medical College, Rawalpindi -Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Senior Registrar, Department of Surgery, Holy Family Hospital, Rawalpindi - Paksitan

<sup>4</sup>Department of Surgery, Shifa College of Medicine, Rawalpindi - Pakistan

# Address for Correspondence: Dr. Jahangir Sarwar Khan,

Associate Professor,
Department of Surgery,
27 Westridge, H. Bewelpindi Co.

87 Westridge –II, Rawalpindi Cantt. 46000,

Rawalpindi - Pakistan E-mail: jskdr@hotmail.com

Date Received: October 12, 2011 Date Revised: August 22, 2012 Date Accepted: August 31, 2012 lumbar hernias).

Ventral hernia repair remains one of the most common operations performed by general surgeons'. Approximately more than 90 000 ventral hernias are repaired yearly in the United States including incisional, epigastric, and Spigelian defects. Repair of incisional hernia by laparoscope was first reported in 1993<sup>2</sup>. There is continued debate regarding the role of laparoscopy in ventral hernia repair (LRVH). Although laparoscopic repair become increasingly popular, its outcome needs further evaluation regarding its benefits over conventional ventral hernial repair in countries of third world where recourses as well as trained surgeons are deficient.

It is believed that laparoscopic repair is beneficial in terms of less post-operative pain, shorter hospital stay, less wound infection, and less recurrence<sup>3</sup>.

It is even possible to reduce operative time because of standardized techniques, surgeons getting more skill, use of mesh fixation devices and new mesh implantation. So, laparoscopic repair is considered as first choice for ventral hernia repair.

International studies are available about the outcome of laparoscopic ventral hernia repair as compared to open ventral hernia repair, but local data is lacking from countries like Pakistan. The structured training program for minimally invasive surgery are deficient in Pakistan. Laparoscopic surgery is being practiced throughout Pakistan for the last one and a half decade, but still the bulk is constituted by the laparoscopic cholecystectomy and diagnostic laparoscopy. Most of the surgeons, who are doing the laparoscopic surgery, are either trained in the developed countries at their own expenses or simply they observe the procedures and start doing it.

We performed this prospective study comparing early outcomes after laparoscopic and open ventral hernia repairs in Pakistan where most of surgeons are passing through their learning curve. The main early out come measures were operating time, hospital stay and intensity of postoperative pain.

This study was thus planned to compare the outcome of open versus laparoscopic ventral hernia repair in terms of duration of surgery, postoperative pain and length of hospital stay.

# **METHODOLOGY**

A comparative study was carried out in Surgical Department of Benazir Bhutto Hospital, Holy Family Hospital and author's clinics over a period of three years. A total of 100 patients with ventral abdominal hernia were selected on the bases of inclusion criteria and divided randomly by lottery method in Group A and Group B for hernia repair either with open mesh repair or laparoscopic approach respectively. Patients of both groups were observed per-operatively for duration of surgery, postoperatively for length of hospital stay and intensity of postoperative pain. Duration of surgery was calculated in minutes in Operation Theater by one of the house surgeon. Post operative pain was calculated by visual analogue scale at 2 and 24 hours by resident doctor. Finally postoperative hospital stay was calculated in hours at time of discharge.

Informed written consent was taken from all patients for study. Details of both techniques were explained to all patients. Patients, who refused randomization, were excluded from the study. Study protocol was approved by hospital ethical committee.

Data was recorded on a proforma and Statistical software SPSS-11 was used for statistical analysis. Chi-square and t-tests were used to find out statistical significant difference between the groups. P value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Any male or female patient (20 to 60 years) having un-complicated ventral abdominal hernia (umbilical hernia, paraumblical hernia, epigastric hernia) with a defect less than 3 cm. (defect size assessed by ultrasonography) were included in the study. All patients were from ASA I or ASA II category.

Patients having recurrent abdominal hernia, incisional hernia, history of previous laparotomy or any other abdominal surgery, acute or subacute intestinal obstruction and unfit for anaestehesia were excluded from the study.

# TECHNIQUE OF OPEN MESH REPAIR

All surgeries were done under general anesthesia. After proper cleaning, painting and draping of abdomen the skin incision made according to site and size of defect, subcutaneous flap raised up to 3 to 5cm around the defect, the hernial sac found, contents reduced back. Peritoneum closed primarily with 2/0 poly-glactin sutures. Anterior rectus sheath closed with 1 polypropylene. Then polypropylene mesh of suitable size is placed over anterior rectus sheath and fixed with continuous sutures of 2/0 Polypropylene. Then skin and fascia closed over with a suction drain.

# TECHNIQUE FOR LAPAROSCOPIC

After creating pneumoperitoneum ports were placed. Onlay technique was used for placement of mesh on defect. Omentum was also laid over the under lying bowel loops to prevent direct contact with the mesh.

As both are standard techniques only reference can be given instead of writing whole procedure.

#### RESULTS

In Group A (n=50) 36 patients were female and 14 patients were male. Average age of patients was  $60 \pm \text{SD}9.2$  years. Twenty five patients were having paraumblical hernia, 18 patients with epigastric hernia and 7 patients with umbilical heria. All patients in group A underwent open repair of ventral abdominal hernias.

In Group B (n=50) 33 patients were female and 17 patients were male. Average age of patients was 59± SD9.3 years. Twenty two patients were having paraumblical hernia, 20 patients with epigastric hernia and 8 patients with umbilical hernia. All patients in group B underwent laproscopic repair of ventral abdominal hernias. None of the patient needed conversion of procedure to open surgery.

Both groups were statistically similar in terms of gender, age and types of ventral

Variables	Group-A		Group-B		p-value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p varue
Age (years)	60.7	9.2	59.7	9.3	.56
Duration of Surgery(minutes)	48.9	13.03	49.08	11.25	.96
Post-Operative Pain score at 2 Hours (visual analogue score out of 10)	6	.78	4.9	.99	.001
Post-Operative Pain score at 24 Hours (visual analogue score out of 10)	3.6	.6	2.4	.49	.001
Post-Operative hospital stay (hours)	39	7.36	31	5.8	.001

Table 1: Comparison of results between two groups

abdominal hernias, American Society of Anesthesiologists score and size of defect.

There was no statistically significant difference between two groups for duration of surgery (P>.96).

Mean pain score was 6 and 4 for group A and Group B respectively at 2 hours of surgery. After 24 hours, pain score decreased to 3 and 2 for Group A and Group B respectively. This difference of pain score between two groups was statistically significant at 2 and 24 hours (p<.05)

Average duration of postoperative hospital stay was more in Group A (39.6 hrs) as compared to Group B (31.4 hrs). This difference was statistically significant (p<.05).

There was no statistically significant difference between two groups for rate of postoperative infection and recurrence during immediate postoperative period. (p>.05)

There was no mortality in both groups

#### **DISCUSSION**

Laparoscopic Repair of Ventral Hernia (LRVH) is being done at a time when laparoscopic appendectomy and cholecystectomy has shown definite benefit over open procedures. There are many controversies but laparoscopic surgery continues to evolve with regard to Laparoscopic Repair of Ventral Hernia and there is more data in the literatures available compared to the past due to increased popularity of this procedures. Longterm studies assessing hernia recurrence rates will be required to help determine the optimal approach to ventral hernia repair<sup>4</sup>.

Internationally Laparoscopic ventral hernia repair is a safe and effective alternative to

conventional open ventral hernia repair. The main advantage of this minimally invasive approach is a decrease in the rate of major wound complications and early return to work<sup>5</sup>.

In a meta analysis, Studies were selected on the basis of study design (comparison of laparoscopic and open ventral hernia repair). In conclusion no statistically significant difference in operative times was noted between laparoscopic and open repair (99 vs. 96 minutes; p=0.38). Laparoscopic ventral hernia repair offers lower complication rates and shorter length of stay than open repair.

In our study both group were statistically similar in terms of gender, age and types of ventral abdominal hernias, American Society of Anesthesiologists score and size of defect. There was no statistically significant difference between two groups for duration of surgery (p>.96). Average duration of postoperative hospital stay was more in Group A (39.6 hrs) as compared to Group B (31.4 hrs). This difference was statistically significant (p<.05).

Several large studies on laparoscopic ventral hernia repair have been reported<sup>7-11</sup>. This technique has proven to be a safe and feasible alternative to open mesh repair. Although many are retrospective series and a few comparative studies, only two completed randomized trials comparing open versus laparoscopic mesh repair have been published<sup>12-15</sup>. Based on these studies, LVHR has been found to have shorter operating time depending on the surgeon's experience, shorter hospital stay, lower complication rates especially wound and mesh infections and lower recurrence rate during the follow up period.

Our study shows no statistically significant

difference between two groups for rate of postoperative infection and recurrence during immediate postoperative period (p > .05).

There was no mortality in both groups.

In another prospective study outcome parameters were accessed after open and laparoscopic ventral hernia repair. The patients in the two groups were comparable at baseline in terms of gendersex, presenting complaints, and comorbid conditions. The mean surgery durations were 90.6 min for the laparoscopic repair and 93.3 min for the open repair (p = 0.769, nonsignificant difference). The mean postoperative stay was shorter for the laparoscopic group than for the open hernia group (2.7 vs 4.7 days; p = 0.044). The pain scores were similar in the two groups at 24 and 48 h, but significantly less at 72 h in the laparoscopic group (mean visual analog scale score, 2.9412 vs 4.1702; p = 0.001)<sup>16</sup>. In our study mean pain score was 6 and 4 for group A and Group B respectively at 2 hours of surgery. After 24 hours pain score decreased to 3 and 2 for Group A and Group B respectively. This difference of pain score between two groups was statistically significant at 2 and 24 hours (p<.05).

Another Meta analysis was conducted in USA in 2007 comparing open versus laparoscopic ventral hernia repairs. In this study Postoperative inpatient hospital stay was more frequent after the open procedure than after the laparoscopic procedure (p<.05)<sup>17</sup>.

In our study we used onlay technique for placement of proline mesh. Initially there was lot of criticism regarding use of intra peritoneal prolene mesh due to risk of adhesion with abdominal viscera. But now literature is avalible to support onlay technique with omental interposition between mesh and the bowel.

Bingener et al<sup>18</sup> found no association of visceral adhesion when prolene was used with adequate omental interposition between it and the bowel. In another study involving 136 patients, Vrijland et al<sup>19</sup> concluded that enterocutaneous fistula appears to be very rare after prolene mesh repair regardless of intraperitoneal placement, omental coverage or closing the peritoneum.

## CONCLUSION

Despite local limitations regarding availability of instruments and expertise, laparoscopic ventral hernia repair is still beneficial when compared to open mesh repair, in terms of post operative pain and duration of hospital stay. Duration of surgery may be reduced by getting more experience.

### REFERENCE

- 1. Jin J, Rosen MJ. Laparoscopic versus open ventral hernia repair in advances and controversies in minimally invasive surgery. Surg Clin North Am 2008;88:1083-100.
- 2. Le Blanc KA, Booth WV. Laparoscopic repair of incisional abdominal hernias using expanded polytetrafluoroethylene: preliminary findings. Surg Laparosc Endosc 1993;3:39-41.
- 3. Barbaros U, Asoglu O, Seven R, Erbil Y, Dinccag A, Deveci U, et al. The comparison of laparoscopic and open ventral hernia repairs: a prospective randomized study. Hernia 2007;11:51-6.
- 4. Pierce RA, Spitler JA, Frisella MM, Matthews BD, Brunt LM. Pooled data analysis of laparoscopic vs. open ventral hernia repair: 14 years of patient data accrual. Surg Endosc 2007;21:378-86.
- Robbins SB, Pofahl WE, Gonzalez RP. Laparoscopic ventral hernia repair reduces wound complications. Am Surg 2001;67:896-900.
- 6. Goodney PP, Birkmeyer CM, Birkmeyer JD. Short term outcomes of laparoscopic and open ventral hernia repair: a meta-analysis. Arch Surg 2002;137:1161-5.
- 7. Heniford B, Park T, Ramshaw BJ, Voller G. Laparoscopic repair of ventral hernia: nine years experiences with 850 consecutive hernia. Ann Surg 2003:238;391-400.
- 8. Heniford BT, Park A, Ramshaw BJ. Laparoscopic ventral and incisional hernia repair in 407 patients. Am Coll Surg 2000;190:645-50.
- Ben-Haim M, Kuriansky J, Tal R, Zmora O, Mintz Y, Rosin D, et al. Pitfalls and complications with laparoscopic intraperitoneal expanded polytetrafluoroethylene patch repair of postoperative hernia repair. Surg Endosc 2002;16:785-8.
- 10. Carbajo MA, Martp del Olmo JC, Blanco JI, Toledano M, de la Cuesta C, Ferreras C, et al. Laparoscopic approach to incisional hernia. Surg Endosc 2003;17:118-22.
- 11. Yavuz N, Ipek T, As A, Kapan M, Eyuboglu E, Erguney S. Laparoscopic repair of ventral and incisional hernia: our experience in 150 patients. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2005;15;601-5.
- 12. Pharm C, Watkin S, Middleton P, Maddern G. LVHR: an accelerated systematic review. Asernip-s Report No. 4; July 2004; 1-45.

- 13. Anthony T, Bergen PC, Kim LT, Henderson M, Fahey T, Rege RV, et al. Factors affecting recurrences following incisional herniorrhaphy. World J Surg 2000;24:95-100.
- 14. Carbarjo MA, Martin del Olmo JC, Blanco JI, de-la Costa C, Tolendano M, Martin F, et al. Laparoscopic treatment versus open surgery in the solution of major incisional and abdominal wall hernias with mesh. Surg Endosc 1999:13:250-2.
- 15. Moreno-Egea A, Carrasco L, Girela E, Matia J, Aguayo JL, Canteras M. Open versus laparoscopic repair of spyelain hernia: a prospective randomised trial. Arch Surg 2002;137:1266-8.
- 16. Lomanto D, Iyer SG, Shabbir A, Cheah WK. Laparoscopic versus open ventral hernia mesh repair: a prospective study. Surg Endosc 2006:20:1030-5.
- 17. Bingener J, Buck L, Richards M, Michalek J,

- Schwesinger W, Sirinek K. Long-term outcomes in laparoscopic vs open ventral hernia repair. Arch Surg 2007;142:562-7.
- 18. Bingerner J, Kazantsev GB, Chopra S, Schwesinger WH. Adhesion formation after laparoscopic ventral incisional hernia repair with polypylene mesh: a study using abdominal ultrasound. JSLS 2004:8:127-31.
- 19. Vrijland WW, Jeekel J, Steyerberg EW, Den Hoed PT, Bonjer HJ. Intraperitoneal polypropylene mesh repair of incisional hernia is not associated with enteroctaneous fistula. Br J Surg 2000;87:348-52.

#### **CONTRIBUTORS**

JSK conceived the idea and planned the study. UQ, UF & ZFU did the data collection and analyzed the study. HH supervised the study. All the authors contributed significantly to the research that resulted in the submitted manuscript.