UROPATHOGEN ISOLATES AND THEIR ANTIBIOTIC SENSITIVITY IN PATIENTS WITH INDWELLING CATHETER ASSOCIATED URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS

Atta Muhammad Khan¹, Zafar Ali², Ghazi Sultan Salahuddin³, Nowsherwan⁴, Musharaf Kamal⁵, Muhammad Asghar⁶, Muhammad Abdur Rahman Afridi⁷

1-7 Department of Medicine, Lady Reading Hospital, Medical Teaching Institution, Peshawar – Pakistan. Address for Correspondence: Dr. Zafar Ali Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Lady Reading Hospital, Medical Teaching Institution, Peshawar – Pakistan. Email: ali_zafar1973@yahoo. com Date Received: November 26, 2019 Date Revised: July 03, 2020 Date Accepted: July 10, 2020

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the frequency of uropathogen isolates and their antibiotic sensitivity against commonly prescribed antibiotics in patients with indwelling catheter associated UTIs (CAUTIs) in our institution.

Methodology: Our study was carried out at the Departments of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Lady Reading Hospital (LRH), Peshawar, from July 2018 to December 2018. It was a descriptive cross sectional study. Patients of both genders with UTI and who were catheterized with age ranging from 16 to 65 years were included through consecutive (non-probability) sampling technique. Specimens, catheter tip, were obtained under strict aseptic techniques to detect common bacterial isolates and their sensitivities against commonly prescribed antibiotics. Data were analyzed via SSPS version 20.

Results: There were total 179 catheterized patients. Among them, 67% patients were female. Mean age was 47 \pm 2.15 years. Sixty six (37%) patients had catheter associated UTI and among them 42 (63.64%) patients were female. Escherichia coli was found in 37% patients followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae in 20% patients. Meropenem and piperacillin-tazobactum had highest sensitivity (89%) in patients with CAUTIs.

Conclusion: One-third of our patients had catheter associated urinary tract infection and the most frequent bacteria found was *Escherichia coli* followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. A higher resistance was found to the commonly prescribed antibiotics.

Key Words: Urinary tract infection, Catheterization, Catheter associated UTI, Uropathogens, *E. Coli*, Antibiotics

This article may be cited as: Khan AM, Ali Z, Salahuddin GS, Nowsherwan, Kamal M, Asghar M, et al. Uropathogen isolates and their antibiotic sensitivity in patients with indwelling catheter associated urinary tract infections. J Postgrad Med Inst 2020; 34(1): 68-73.

INTRODUCTION

Urinary catheters are standard medical gadgets used for relieving urinary retention and incontinence. Because of its recurrent use and lack of quality catheter care, 21-50% patients are at risk of different complications. Worldwide, the most remarkable indwelling catheter related complication is nosocomial urinary tract infections (UTIs) i.e. UTI acquired in a hospital setting¹. Catheter-associated UTIs (CAUTIs) represent 40% of the whole nosocomial infections in hospital and hospice setups and establish almost 80% of nosocomial UTIs^{1,2}. It can lead to increase in hospital stay, prolonged antibiotic therapy, financial loss and higher mortality^{3,4}. Moreover, CAUTI may be associated with multi drug resistant strains which may require aggressive antibiotic therapy and risk of spread to other patients^{5,6}.

Indwelling urinary catheters act as portal of entry for uropathogens and a site for their multiplication. The development of bacteriuria in such patients occurs at a rate of 3-10% per day of catheterization. Among these, 10-25% may have symptomatic UTIs with ascending infection of bladder, ureters and kidneys ⁷⁻⁹. According to the published research, the most frequent species are *Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterococcus spp. and Candida spp*^{10,11}.

Urinary tract catheterization is an important aspect of medical care. However, inherently as well as its injudicious use may lead to a huge burden of CAUTI in hospitalized patients with significant morbidity, mortality and utilization of a large number of available antibiotics¹². The alarming increase in antibiotic resistance is a serious clinical concern. Rational use of antibiotics with proper dose, spectrum and duration are neglected by treating health care professionals¹³. As a result, treatment options are becoming more limited. Therefore, updated knowledge of the prevailing uropathogens and their antibiotic sensitivity/resistance pattern is important for optimal treatment of CAUTIs¹⁴.

The present study was conducted to determine the frequency of uropathogen isolates and their antibiotic sensitivity against commonly prescribed antibiotics in patients having indwelling catheter associated UTIs (CAUTIs) at our institution. This will aid in guiding appropriate antibiotic selection and development of guidelines for the treatment of CAUTIs. Consequently, length of stay in the hospital, extra costs and mortality may be reduced.

METHODOLOGY

This study was carried out at the Departments of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar, from July 2018 to December 2018. It was a descriptive cross sectional study. Sample was collected through consecutive (non-probability) sampling technique. We included patients of both genders with UTI and who were catheterized with age ranging from 16 to 65 years. Patients who had taken antibiotics in last five days at the time of presentation and patients with urinary tract anatomical abnormalities as well as those who were unwilling to participate were excluded from the study. UTI was operationally defined as the presence of at least two of the following features with no other recognized cause: fever, urgency of micturition, dysuria or suprapubic tenderness; and pyuria (quantitative urine WBC >10 cells/microL) or positive urine culture. Patients with indwelling urethral catheterization and signs and symptoms consistent with UTI were defined as CAUTI. Culture positivity (Culture growth of $\geq 10^5$ colony forming units (CFU)/ml of uropathogenic bacteria) obtained after 48 hours of urinary catheterization was considered as catheter associated bacteriuria.

This study was conducted after being approved by institutional Ethical Review Board (IRB). Those patients who met our inclusion criteria were recruited for the study through OPD and Emergency departments. A written informed consent was acquired after the purpose of the study and its benefits were disclosed to the patients. All these patients were subjected to detailed history and clinical examination. From all included patients with UTI, specimens (catheter tip) were obtained under strict aseptic techniques and sent immediately to hospital laboratory for culture (isolation and identification) to detect common uropathogen isolates; and sensitivities (antimicrobial susceptibility testing) against commonly prescribed antibiotics (such as amoxicillin-clavulanate, quinolones, aminoglycosides, fosfomycin, nitrofurantoin, cefoperazone-sulbactam, piperacillin-tazobactum and meropenem) using standard microbiological methods. Relevant investigations were carried out including complete blood count (CBC), RBS, blood urea, creatinine and urine detailed report (D/R). Exclusion criteria were strictly followed for controlling confounders and bias in our results. All of the given information i.e. name, age, sex, presence of CAUTI, common bacteria and their antibiotic sensitivities, was registered in a predesigned proforma.

Data were then analyzed via SSPS version 20. Mean \pm SD was computed for quantitative variables i.e. age. Percentages and frequencies were calculated for categorical variables like gender, catheter associated UTIs, uropathogen isolates and their antibiotic sensitivities. All these results were then formulated into tables. Post stratification chi-square test was used and p \leq 0.05 considered as significant.

RESULTS

There were total of 179 catheterized patients. Among them, 67% patients were female. Mean age was 47 \pm 2.15 years. The frequency of CAUTIs was 30% in the age group of 56-65 years followed by 25% in 46-55 years age group. Stratification of catheter associated UTI with age is shown in Table 1.

······································						
Catheter Associated UTI	Age Groups					
	16 to 25 Years	26 to 35 Years	36 to 45 Years	46 to 55 Years	56 to 65 Years	Total
Yes	6	10	13	17	20	66
No	12	17	23	28	33	113
Total	18	27	36	45	53	179

 Table 1: Stratification of catheter associated UTI with age (n=179)

Catheter	Ger	- Total	
associated UTI	Female Male		
Yes	42 (23.46%)	24 (13.41%)	66 (36.87%)
No	78 (43.58%)	35 (19.55%)	113 (63.13%)
Total	120 (67.04%)	59 (32.96%)	179 (100%)

Table 2: Gender distribution of catheter associated UTI (n=179)

Table 3: Uropathogen isolates (n=66)

Uropathogens	Frequency	Percentage
Escherichia coli	24	37%
Klebsiella pneumoniae	13	20%
Staphylococcus epidermidis	12	18%
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	11	16%
Enterococcus	4	6%
Proteus mirabilis	2	3%
Total	66	100%

Table 4: Antibiotics sensitivity pattern in patients with CAUTIs (n=66)

Age Groups	Frequency	Percentage	
Meropenem	59	89	
Piperacillin-Tazobactum	59	89	
Cefoperazone-Sulbactam	58	88	
Fosfomycin	48	73	
Nitrofurantoin	44	67	
Ceftriaxone	9	14	
Quinolones	5	7	
Amoxicillin-Clavulanate	2	3	

Sixty six (37%) patients had catheter associated UTI and among them 42 (63.64%) patients were female, as shown in Table 2.

E. coli was found in 37% patients followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in 20% patients, as shown in Table 3.

Meropenem and piperacillin-tazobactum had highest sensitivity in patients with CAUTIs. Sensitivities of other a antibiotics are shown in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

Worldwide, 150 million people suffer UTI annually with more than 6 billion US dollars expenditure related to healthcare and absenteeism from work¹⁵. The mortality associated with CAUTI is about 10%¹⁶. In our study, 66 (36.87%) patients had catheter associated UTI. Our findings were similar to Bagchi et al² and Bi et al¹⁷ who reported 29.09% and 37.4% patients with CAUTIs, respectively. In another study, 21.1% showed significant bacteriuria¹⁴. Karkee et al¹⁸ reported significant bacteriuria and CAUTIs in 17 (12.5%) patients. Zarb et al¹⁹ showed 17.2% of CAUTIs prevalence, which was lower as compared to our study. On the other hand, Anthony et al²⁰ observed significant bacteriuria in 60.9% of their study patients. The difference in frequency of CAUTIs can be explained by differences in host susceptibility, hygiene practices, technique of catheterization, duration of indwelling catheter and quality of catheter care among the different populations studied.

In our study, 63.64% patients with CAUTIs were female and 36.36% patients were male. Our findings were in accordance with other studies which showed increased frequency of CAUTIs in females as compared to males (70–80% vs.20–30%) respectively². In another study, 89.2% of the isolates were from females²¹. Females are considered at high risk for UTI and about one-third experience it at some point in their lifetime. The gender difference in frequency and susceptibility of CAUTIs can be explained by differences in the anatomical structure of the genitourinary tract (females have relatively shorter and wider urethra)²², closer proximity of female urethra to the anus, lack of prostatic fluid with antimicrobial properties in females, sexual behavior, use of sanitary materials and hygiene practices^{23,24}.

Mean age in our study was 47 \pm 2.15 years. The frequency of CAUTIs was highest (30%) in the age group of 56-65 years followed by 25% in 46-55 years age group. Our findings were similar to the other published studies. Karkee et al¹⁸ showed increased frequency of CAUTIs among age group of 61-70 years. Another study reported 27% and 25% frequency of CAUTIs in those 61 years and above followed by 50-60 years of age respectively²⁵. Increasing age is considered an important risk factor for acquiring CAUTIs due to relatively weak immune status as well as increased need for catheterization²⁶.

Escherichia coli was the most frequent uropathogen found in 37% of patients with CAUTIs followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae (20%), Staphylococcus epidermidis (18%) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa in 16% patients. Our results were in conformity with the previously published studies showing E. coli as the most frequent uropathogen isolated from patients with CAUTIs. The frequency distribution of E. coli as reported by different studies from various regions of the world include: Canada 80%27, India 70%28, Korea 38.7%29 and Nigeria 21.5%³⁰. Similarly, Hossain et al³¹ reported E. coli in 81% of isolates, Sabir et al¹¹ in 80% and Sandhu et al³² 41.17% of isolates. In another study, E. coli was the most common uropathogen (34.85%) followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae (19.7%)². Karkee et al¹⁸ showed E. coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae as the commonest uropathogens (35.3% and 17.65% respectively).

In our study, resistance shown by uropathogens to the commonly used antibiotics included amoxicillin-clavulanate (97%), quinolones (93%), ceftriaxone (86%), aminoglycosides (54%), fosfomycin (31%), nitrofurantoin (26%), cefoperazone-sulbactam (12%), piperacillin-tazobactum (11%) and meropenem (11%). In the study by Sabir et al¹¹, *E. coli* showed highest resistance among isolates to different antibiotics as: amoxicillin (100%), amoxicillin-clavulanate (62.6%), doxycycline (66.6%), quinolones (54.2%), ceftriaxone/imipenem (43.3%), tazocin (14%) and amikacin (12.7%). Another study showed that *E. coli* had resistance to quinolones in 85% of isolates³³.

Gebremariam et al¹⁴ also reported increased resistance of isolates to commonly used antibiotics including ampicillin (81–100%), amoxicillin-clavulanate (77–93.6%), co-trimoxazole (55 72.3%) and tetracyclines (46–55.5%). Moreover, resistance was significantly higher in CAUTI patients as compared to the non-catheterized patients³¹. Catheter-associated isolates were found to have resistance to fluoroquinolones in 35% and cefepime / ceftazidime in 16%³⁴. Ahmed et al³⁵ observed increased resistance in isolated micro-organisms and most of the commonly used antibiotics were found ineffective. However, carbapenems showed comparatively lower resistance.

CONCLUSION

In our setup, the frequency of catheter associated urinary tract infection was 37% and the most frequent bacteria found was Escherichia coli followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae. A higher resistance was found to the commonly prescribed antibiotics.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Decrease in the prevalence of CAUTIs can be achieved through establishment of standard guidelines regarding

judicious catheterization, sterile placement, high quality catheter care and timely removal of catheter. Based on the locally prevalent uropathogens and their antiobiotic sensitivity, treatment regimens and guidelines need to be tailored and antibiogram need to be made and followed accordingly. Antibiotics with least resistance like cefoperazone-sulbactam, piperacillin-tazobactum and meropenem should be used preferably for treatment of CAUTIS.

REFERENCES

- 1. Jacobsen SM, Stickler DJ, Mobley HL, Shirtliff ME. Complicated catheter-associated urinary tract infections due to *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis*. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2008; 21:26-59.
- 2. Bagchi I, Jaitly NK, Thombare VR. Microbiological evaluation of catheter associated urinary tract infection in a tertiary care hospital. Intern J Bio Health Sci 2013;1:1-10.
- de Siqueira e Silva MF, de Sena FCR, Agostinho F, Medeiros KKA, Miguel CB, Rodrigues WF. Urinary tract infection related to hospitalized patients: A Review. Arch Urol Res 2017; 1: 001-4.
- Negromote GR, Nascimento JS, Brigido JV, Carvalho AM, Farias RL. Study of variables involved in hospital-acquired urinary tract infections at a university hospital. Rev Soc Bras Clin Med 2015; 13:90-3.
- 5. Tanvir R, Hafeez R, Hasnain S. Prevalence of multiple drug resistant *Escherichia coli* in patients of urinary tract infection registering at a diagnostic laboratory in Lahore Pakistan. Pak J Zool 2012; 44:707-12.
- Abdul JKP, Abdul Rahim K, Abdul HYS, Sanaullah K. Current antibiotic susceptibility in Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar (NWFP) Pakistan. Gomal Uni J Res 2008; 13:224-9.
- 7. Warren JW. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2001; 17:299-303.
- Saint S. Clinical and economic consequences of nosocomial catheter-related bacteriuria. Am J Infect Control 2000; 28:68-75.
- Leuck A, Wright D, Ellingson L, Kraemer L, Kuskowski MA, Johnson JR. Complications of Foley catheters-is infection the greatest risk? J Urol 2012; 187:1662-6.
- Tenke P, Kovacs B, Johansen TEB, Matsumoto T, Tambyah PA, Naber KG. European and Asian guidelines on management and prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2008; 31Suppl 1:S68-78.
- 11. Sabir S, Anjum AA, Ijaz T, Ali MA, Khan MUR, Nawaz M. Isolation and antibiotic susceptibility of *E. coli*

from urinary tract infections in a tertiary care hospital. Pak J Med Sci 2014; 30:389-92.

- 12. Dada-Adegbola HO, Muili KA. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of urinary tract pathogens in Ibadan, Nigeria. Afr J Med Med Sci 2010; 39:173-9.
- Gales AC, Sader HS, Jones RN. Urinary tract infection trends in Latin American hospitals: report from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program (1997–2000). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2002; 44:289-99.
- Gebremariam G, Legese H, Woldu Y, Araya T, Hagos K, Gebreyesus-Wasihun A. Bacteriological profile, risk factors and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of symptomatic urinary tract infection among students of Mekelle University, Northern Ethiopia. BMC Infect Dis 2019;19:950.
- Agersew A, Chandrasekhar U. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infection causing human pathogenic bacteria among symptomatic outpatients, visiting Gondar University Hospital Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia. Novus Int J Med Sci 2013;2:1–14.
- Gould CV, Umscheid CA, Agarwal RK, Kuntz G, Pegues DA. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections 2009. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010; 31:319-26.
- 17. Bi XC, Zhang B, Ye YK, He HC, Han ZD, Dai QS, et al. Pathogen incidence and antibiotic resistance patterns of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in children. J Chemother 2009; 21:661-5.
- Karkee P, Dhital D, Madhup SK, Sherchan JB. Catheter associated urinary tract infection: prevalence, microbiological profile and antibiogram at a tertiary care hospital. Ann Clin Chem Lab Med 2017; 3:3-10.
- Zarb P, Coignard B, Griskeviciene J, Muller A, Vankerckhoven V, Weist K, et al. The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) pilot point prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial use. Euro Surveill 2012; 17:20316.
- Anthony O, Oluwalana OT, Bolatito O, Olaniran O, Adesola O, Titus AO. Urinary pathogens and their antimicrobial susceptibility in patients with indwelling urinary catheter. Sierra Leone J Biomed Res 2010; 2:47-53.
- 21. Tan CW, Chlebicki MP. Urinary tract infections in adults. Singap Med J 2016;57: 485–90.
- 22. Valiquette L. Urinary tract infections in women. Can J Urol 2001; 1:6-12.

- 23. Melaku S, Kibret M, Abera B, Gebre-Sellassie S. Antibiogram of nosocomial urinary tract infections in Felege Hiwot referral hospital from Ethiopia. Afr Health Sci 2012; 12:134–9.
- 24. Saint S, Greene MT, Krein SL, Rogers MA, Ratz D, Fowler KE, et al. A program to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infection in acute care. N Engl J Med 2016; 374:2111-9.
- 25. Ramakrishnan K, Venugopal J, Easow JM, Ravishankar M. Incidence, bacteriological profile and antibiotic resistance pattern of catheter associated urinary tract infections in a tertiary care hospital. J Pure Appl Microbiol 2019; 13:1549-54.
- Dougnon TV, Bankole HS, Johnson RC, Hounmanou G, Moussa-Toure I, Houessou C, et al. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections at a hospital in Zinvie, Benin (West Africa). Int J Inf 2016; 3:e34141.
- 27. Ronald A. The etiology of urinary tract infection: traditional and emerging pathogens. Dis Mon 2003; 49:71-82.
- Subramanian P, Shanmugam N, Sivaraman U, Kumar S, Selvaraj S. Antiobiotic resistance pattern of biofilm-forming uropathogens isolated from catheterised patients in Pondicherry, India. Australas Med J 2012; 5:344-8.
- 29. Lee JH, Kim SW, Yoon BI, Ha US, Sohn DW, Cho YH. Factors that affect nosocomial catheter-associated urinary tract infection in intensive care units: 2-year experience at a single center. Korean J Urol 2013; 54:59-65.
- Umeh EU, Olusi TA, Aguoru CU. Bacteriuria in primary health care units in Makurdi Metropolis, Middle-Belt, Nigeria. Res J Microbiol 2007; 2:966-71.

- 31. Hossain MD, Ahsan S, Kabir MS. Antibiotic resistance patterns of uropathogens isolated from catheterized and noncatheterized patients in Dhaka, Bangladesh. Tzu Chi Med J 2014; 26:127-31.
- 32. Sandhu R, Sayal P, Jakkhar R, Sharma G. Catheterization-associated urinary tract infections: epidemiology and incidence from tertiary care hospital in Haryana. J Health Res Rev 2018; 5:135-41.
- Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Mehta Y, Leblebicioglu H, Memish ZA, Al-Mousa HH, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary of 43 countries for 2007-2012. Device-associated module. Am J Infect control 2014; 42:942-56.
- 34. Weiner LM, Webb AK, Limbago B, Dudeck MA, Patel J, Kallen AJ, et al. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011-2014. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016; 37:1288-1301.
- 35. Ahmed I, Rabbi MB, Sultana S. Antibiotic resistance in Bangladesh: a systematic review. Int J Infect Dis 2019; 80:54-61.

CONTRIBUTORS

AMK conceived the idea, planned the study and drafted the manuscript. ZA, GSS, N, MK and MA helped acquisition of data, searched the literature, did statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. MARA supervised the study and critically revised the manuscript. All authors contributed significantly to the submitted manuscript.